# CALLAHAN EXHIBIT F

#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT SUCR 071882

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

-vs-

MOTION HEARING DAY SIX

SHAWN DRUMGOLD

### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: ROUSE, J

August 6, 2003 Boston, Massachusetts

### APPEARANCES:

DAVID MEIER, Esquire, Assistant District Attorney, for the Commonwealth

ROSEMARY SCAPICCHIO, Esquire, for the Defendant

Mary M. Wrighton Official Court Reporter

## I N D E X

<u>WITNESSES</u> :	PAGE
TIMOTHY CALLAHAN  direct examination by Mr. Meier (cont.)  cross examination by Ms. Scapicchio  redirect examination by Mr. Meier	4 28 129
PHILLIP T. BEAUCHESNE direct examination by Mr. Meier cross examination by Ms. Scapicchio	131 157
FRANCIS O'MEARA direct examination by Mr. Meier cross examination by Ms. Scapicchio	182
ROMERO HOLLIDAY direct examination by Mr. Meier	225
* * * *	
EXHIBITS:	
No. 29 - tape cassette marked and admitted	13
No. 30 - tape cassette marked and admitted	17
No. 31 - letter marked and admitted	210
No. 32 - letter marked and admitted	212
No. 33 - medical records marked and admitted	254

24

PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 •

⊛

ASER BOND FORM A

that it was my obligation to give em full and 1 lfill that complete discovery and I tried t 2 with the problem, if you didn't, case would 3 be reversed and that would be kill f a silly 4 reason for a reversal and it wou on me. 5 I was rather cautious about that 6 Do you recall the trial of the late 7 Q. September and early October of 8 very las Just fragments of it. I rememb 9 Α of it best of all. 10 Do you have a recollection of y 11 0 Do you have a recollecti 12 witnesses being called to testif behalf of 13 the Commonwealth? 14 I have a fairly good recollection 15 missing witnesses to the point where most of the 16 people who testified in the grand jury weren't 17 around at the time of trial and my recollection 18 is, we practically had to re-investigate the case 19 and come up with some more witnesses and that was 20 And then at the time of trial some of the 21 new witnesses were amongst the missing and you 22

can draw whatever inference you want from that.

I drew my own inferences but to the point where,

Q.

as the case progressed to a conclusion, there was
almost a complete failure of proof to the extent
that Mr. Taylor's case was a directed verdict and
the only reason there wasn't a directed verdict
in this case was the testimony of Mary Alexander
which came as a complete surprise on the every
last day of trial.

- Mr. Beauchesne, with respect to one of the witnesses who did testify at trial, a gentleman by the name of Ricky Evans, does that name mean anything to you in terms of having your memory refreshed or having reviewed various correspondence and discovery requests and letters back and forth between yourself and Mr. Rappaport and Mr. George? Does that name, Ricky Evans, or the testimony of Ricky Evans bear any significance to you?
- A Not at the present time.
- Do you have any recollection of whether or not at the time that he testified, Mr. Evans was staying at the Howard Johnson's in South Boston?
- A Well, from everything I've heard, I believe he was but I don't really have a memory that he was staying there, but -- I'll just say the record

```
appears that that's where he was staying, yes,
1
         but I don't know that I recall it.
2
         If you could do your best, just for purposes of
3
    Q
         this hearing, as difficult as it might be to
 4
         divorce yourself or put aside what you may have
5
         heard or read or seen in the last several days,
6
         and, as best you can, if you can recall back to
7
         the time of trial, are you able to tell Justice
8
         Rouse anything about your knowledge or any
9
         statements made to you by anybody, whether police
10
         officers or other members of the District
11
         Attorney's office, to the effect that Mr. Evans
12
         was staying at a hotel at the time of trial?
13
         you remember having any, in effect?
14
         I would have to say that before I came in for
15
         this hearing, I had absolutely no memory of it.
16
         I now have a little bit of a memory and I tried
17
         to express it, the reason he was taken there was,
18
         the safe house, I think the safe house wasn't
19
         available and that's why they had to put him up
20
         there. Ordinarily he would have been a lot safer
21
         at our safe house. It's very -- it's way out of
22
         the way. He wouldn't bump into anybody, no one
23
```

would see him, and he'd be much more protected

1		there. That was the most desirable solution at
2		that time.
3	Q	Do you have any sense, based upon your memory
4		being refreshed over the last several days, as to
5		the approximate length of time, whether it was
6		days, weeks, or months, that Mr. Evans may have
7		stayed at a hotel?
8	Α	I have a rather dim sense that it probably was a
9		few months but I'm not sure.
10	Q	And do you have any recollection either
11		independently or having memory refreshed because
12		of events in the last several days about whether
13		or not Mr. Evans' meals were paid for?
14	Α	I don't have a memory but I assume that if he was
15		being put up, his meals were provided. I assume,
16		I have some limited recollection, he wouldn't be
17		able to work, he wouldn't be able to go out in
18		the community and do whatever he did for a living
19		and survive, in my opinion, at that time, so you
20		would have had to feed him and house him and have
21		a police officer basically around at all times to
22		make sure he survived.
23	Q	Independent or other than this particular
24		situation, this case and this particular witness,

could you describe for Her Honor generally the procedure internally, as best you understand it today, that is, within the District Attorney's office at the time by which a witness such as Mr. Evans would be housed somewhere other than his usual residence?

The case would be assigned to me, to an assistant DA and I knew all of the members of the homicide unit very well and most of them were very experienced officers, very credible, I had personal relationships with them, so when I had a homicide officer come to me and say, look, this guy is going to get hit, we believe that there is a serious threat, I would act on it and I would go to my superiors and basically say that, Sergeant Hudson, for example, has said that there is a serious threat and I believe him and we should put this guy down on the island or the family down on the island. Usually it would be just the individual, it might be his mother, his sister, it could be a female witness, but you'd have to take care of the people to make sure that they could do their duty as you want them to do it and they survived, and it was no fooling, it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
was the real McCoy.

Internally who would you go to and who would

approve such a relocation and who would authorize

the funds and pay for it?

Normally I would, I might have discussed it with

whoever was running homicide at the time and they
```

- whoever was running homicide at the time and they may or may not have had the final say but generally I would end up probably talking to the first assistant district attorney himself because it was a considerable expense and we didn't do it lightly but when it was needed, it had to be done.
- Q Do you have any recollection of doing anything of that sort with respect to Mr. Evans in this case?
- I don't have a recollection. I know I must have done it, I believe I did it, but do I remember on a particular day I spoke to a particular person?

  I do not.
- Do you recall, sir, that when you first were assigned or received the case that two homicide detectives by the names of Walsh and Murphy were the individuals working the case?
- I know they, when -- I know they were on the case. I don't know whether -- I think they were